

J&J Talent 2.0 Hackathon Judging Rubric

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Idea -Did the proposal address a key problem statement / theme | | | | |
| Does not engage a key problem statement and/or unlikely to make much improvement | 0 | 1 | 2 | Engages a key problem statement in an exciting, far-reaching manner with high likelihood for impact/improvement |
| Implementation - How easy is the solution it to implement, time, money, training | | | | |
| solution proposed is not viable, poor understanding of problem, technology unlikely to support the vision | 0 | 1 | 2 | Solution & design is exciting, fits/changes the market, superior to current methods, straight forward implementation |
| Usability / Design - easily adoptable by users | | | | |
| Poor UI/UX, steep learning curve, low technical strength, poor analysis and integration, misinterpreted solution complexity | 0 | 1 | 2 | Intuitive / easily learned UI, high technical strength, innovative analysis, and integration, well-addressed complexity |
| Novelty - Engage an important question in an innovative and creative way, Combines concepts and tools in a way that produces new capabilities | | | | |
| not very innovative, similar approaches/solutions exist or have been tried | 0 | 1 | 2 | Highly innovative, novel approach/solution, powerful new capability |
| Business Case / Impact - improves economics, positive productivity impact, sustainable business solution, opportunity exists | | | | |
| Minimal to no measurable impact on problem, problem addressed in insignificant | 0 | 1 | 2 | Significant positive improvement / impact on an important problem |